

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/918,537	AKASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Q. Janice Li	1632	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(3) _____

(2) Pamela Sherwood, Appl. Rep.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2-6, 20-22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The above claims recite "said cells", since the base claim recites more than one type of cells, it is necessary to amend the claims to clarify the specific cell type. Applicant's representative agreed to make the amendment by the Examiner.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)